Tropical Agricultural Research & Extension 27 (4): 2024

RESEARCH ARTICLE

CHARACTERIZATION OF *Dickeya fangzhongdai* KPJ 1, THE CAUSATIVE AGENT OF SOFT ROT OF *Aglaonema* 'MARIA' AND ITS BIOLOGICAL CONTROL

Subhashini MHAD^{1*}, Nanayakkara CM^{2,4}, Senanayake DMJB³, Piyathissa DDE¹, and Athukorala IRT¹

¹National Plant Quarantine Service, Canada Friendship Road, Katunayake, Sri Lanka

²Department of Plant Sciences, University of Colombo, Colombo, Sri Lanka

³Rice Research and Development Institute, Batalagoda, Sri Lanka

⁴Sri Lanka Institute of Biotechnology, Pitipana, Homagama, Sri Lanka

Received: 31 July 2024, Accepted: 12 November 2024, Published: 31 December 2024 ABSTRACT

Aglaonema 'Maria' is a popular indoor plant, which is vulnerable to soft rot, a bacterial disease that causes serious losses in nurseries and is a major barrier in producing export-quality planting materials. Biocontrol agents are the best eco-sustainable alternatives for agrochemicals in managing plant diseases. This study was focused on isolating the causative agent of soft-rot disease of Aglaonema 'Maria' and evaluating the biocontrol potency of Bacillus velezensis strain DCJ 2 (DCJ 2) in situ under different delivery methods. The causative bacterium isolated from infected Aglaonema 'Maria' plants, coded as KPJ 1 was subjected to morphological, and biochemical, molecular and physiological characterization. The tests were performed in duplicate, indicating that the bacterium was positive for all of the tests that should be positive, except the oxidase test, which should be negative. The bacterium was tested to be gram-negative. Molecular characterization revealed that the KPJ 1 is Dickeya fangzhongdai. A pot experiment with healthy plants of Aglaonema 'Maria' was carried out to evaluate the biocontrol potency of DCJ 2 on KPJ 1 using a Completely Randomized Design with eight treatments and six replications. T1 and T2 represented untreated controls whereas the plants inoculated with only the pathogen (KPJ 1) considered as the negative controls [T3 (drench) and T4 (foliar spray)]. T5 and T6 represented the plants treated sequentially with KPJ 1 and DCJ as a soil drench. Similarly, foliar spray was performed in the T7 and T8. The data were analyzed using SAS software (version 9). Among both inoculum application protocols T5 and T6 (soil drench) showed the lowest disease severity (p=0.05). In conclusion, the antagonistic bacterium DCJ 2 demonstrated potent activity against soft-rot disease in Aglaonema caused by D. fangzhongdai which could be effectively used as an eco-friendly biological control agent in Aglaonema nurseries.

Keywords: Aglaonema 'Maria', Dickeya fangzhongdai KPJ 1, Bacillus velezensis DCJ 2, soft-rot, biocontrol agent, soil drench, foliar spray

INTRODUCTION

Aglaonema species, usually Chinese evergreen, are herbaceous perennials grown in shady environments as potted indoor plants. Aglaonema 'Maria' belongs to the family Araceae, which has many horticulturally important genera. The most well-known species is Aglaonema 'Maria' which is commercially important as a foliage plant. It has a high value in the export market. Foreign exchange earned by Sri Lanka exporting foliage plants including Aglaonema 'Maria' was more than USS Mn 14 in 2022

Corresponding author: subhashinimhad@gmail.com

(Subhashini et al., 2024). Over the years, epidemics of soft rot were observed on Aglaonema 'Maria' plants in export plant nurseries in Sri Lanka. The commercial production of Aglaonema 'Maria' is hampered by the 'soft-rot' disease caused by Dickeya species namely Dickeya fangzhongdai KPJ 1. The genus Dickeya which was previously known as Erwinia chrysanthemi has diverse isolates that cause soft-rot disease on diverse ornamental crops and plants species worldwide including economically important ornamental plants, crops and namely chrysanthemums, Euphorbia maize,

245 SUBHASHINI MHAD *ET AL*: BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF SOFT ROT OF *Aglaonema* "MARIA"

pulcherrima, Dieffenbachia spp., Philodendron bananas. Saintpaulia ionantha. spp., Aglaonema, Dahlia, and carnations (EPPO, Data Sheet, 1982) where they cause typical wilting, black leg and soft-rot symptoms (Alic et al., 2018). High humidity and warm temperatures created ideal conditions that led to a high frequency of soft rot disease (Sudarsono et al., 2018). In warm humid climates, tissues become soft and rotted with a foul smell. Infected leaves show rot symptoms which are characterized by irregular watersoaked lesions. Phytopathogenic Dickeya species were previously classified as Erwinia chrysanthemi and then members of this species were elevated to the genus Pectobacterium (P. chrysanthemi) (Wei et al., 2021; Gardan et al., 2003; Burkholder et al., 1953). The genus Dickeya becomes reclassified from Erwinia chrvsanthemi primarily based on host range, biochemical, and molecular analysis (Balamurugan et al., 2020). Pectobacterium chrysanthemi species was extended to the genus level and renamed Dickeya by Samson et al., in 2005 and currently incorporates six genomic species: Dickeya chrysanthemi, D. paradisiaca D. dadantii, D. dianthicola, D. zeae and D. dieffenbachia. D. solani, which was a new Dickeya sp. found to be widespread on potatoes in Europe (Czajkowski et al., 2014). The recently described species *Dickeya* fangzhongdai, is a newly emerging bacterial pathogen, that causes bleeding cankers in pear trees (Tian et al., 2016), soft rot disease in taro (Huang et al., 2021) peduncle soft rot on banana (Yang et al., 2022), and soft rot of onion (Ma et al., 2020). Further, soft rot disease of Belamcanda chinensis caused by Dickeya fangzhongdai (Yang et al., 2023), soft rot of Banxia (Pinellia ternata) (Wang et al., 2021); soft rot disease on Dendrobium nobile (Balamurugan et al., 2020) are some more to mention. However, scientists have discovered that there are 12 Dickeya spp. distributed worldwide (Wolf et al., 2021).

In the last few years, outbreaks of soft rot were observed on *Aglaonema* 'Maria, plants in several export foliage nurseries in Sri Lanka having water-soaked lesions with a dark brown to black margin and a rotten smell. Hence, urgent attention was needed to identify the etiological agent of this disease and formulate control strategies. Chemical controlling is largely practiced by nurseries, but the largescale application of toxic chemicals may cause environmental hazards, lead to the development of resistance in pathogen populations, and damage to non-target organisms (Li et al., 2020). Thus, there is a need for new solutions to curb plant diseases effective that provide control while minimizing negative consequences for human health and the environment (Elizebath et al., 1999). Biocontrol is one of the most effective and promising approaches for the control of soft rot and other plant diseases (Cui et al., 2019; Grady et al., 2019; Li et al., 2020).

Biological control is an alternative to the breeding of resistant crops and chemical control of plant diseases and has been increasingly tested to control *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* pathogens, particularly using broad-spectrum antagonistic bacteria such as *Bacillus* and *Paenibacillus*, which produce multiple antimicrobial compounds against broad range of phytopathogens (Ying *et al.*, 2022; Hossain *et al.*, 2023; Liu *et al.*, 2023).

Further, Myxococcus sp. strain BS showed to be a promising candidate to control various pathogenic plant bacteria. including Pectobacterium carotovorum, Pseudomonas solanacearum, Erwinia amvlovora. chrysanthemi Dickeya and Dickeya fangzhongdai (Li et al., 2018). Some bacteriophages isolated from variable hostrange profiles are currently being utilized against putative novel Dickeva spp. (Alic et al., 2017). Among biocontrol agents, many Bacillus species including B. subtilis, B. amyloliquefaciens, and B. velezensis (Wang et al., 2020) were employed to suppress the growth of bacterial and fungal plant pathogens. Bacillus species are among the exploited beneficial bacteria most as biopesticides. The most remarkable trait of Bacillus spp. is the ability to produce a wide variety of bioactive compounds valuable agricultural applications, including for metabolites with antimicrobial activity, surface-active, efficient colonization of plants and implicated in the induction of plant defense responses (Akarapisan et al., 2020; Bonaterra et al., 2022). B. velezensis can also trigger systemic resistance in plants (Rabbee et al., 2019). Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR) has been a sought after niche for bacterial biocontrol agents. PGPR such as Bacillus subtilis. Bacillus amyloliquefaciens, Bacillus velezensis, and Paenibacillus polymyxa were reported to different antibiotics, produce such as surfactin, bacillomycin, fengycin, iturin, 2,4diacetylphloroglucinol, polymyxin and fusaricidin, which strongly inhibit the growth of pathogenic fungi and bacteria (Mekonnen et al., 2021). The principal mechanisms underlying plant growth promotion by PGPR in addition to disease control include stimulation of phytohormones, production of siderophores, antibiosis, solubilization and mobilization of phosphates, induction resistance systemic of inhibition against pathogens and of synthesis, etc. (Wang et al., 20; ethylene Mekonnen et al., 2022; Teixeira et al., 2021; Rabbee et al, 2019).

Bacillus velezensis is an endospore-forming bacterium that possesses the ability for rapid adverse replication and tolerates environmental conditions. It is widely distributed in various environments namely plant rhizosphere, plants, soil, water so forth and has the potential as a biopesticide against a broad spectrum of microbial pathogens of plants (Soad et al., 2005; Martínez-Alvarez et al., 2016; Grady et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Hasan 2022; Xu et al., 2022). Generally. Bacillus spp. and *Pseudomonas* spp. are the most extensively studied beneficial microorganisms in the rhizosphere and B. velezensis can stimulate resident rhizospherebeneficial microorganisms (Sun et al., 2022). Some Bacillus velezensis strains are: SK71 (Akarapisan et al., 2020); QST713 (Pandin et al., 2018); 9D-6 (Grady et al., 2019); FZB42T (Luo et al., 2019); ZF2 (Xu et al.,2020); HNH9 (Hasan et al., 2020 and2022); SQR9 (Sun et al., 2021); CE 100 9 (Maung et al., 2022) and A6 & P42 et al., 2023). Beneficial (Chandrashekar plant-microbiome interactions including B.

velezensis improve plant fitness through growth promotion, stress alleviation, and defense against pathogens through various mechanisms (Sun et al., 2022). Therefore, this study focused on the isolation and characterization of the causal organism associated with soft rot in Aglaonema 'Maria', the evaluation of the biocontrol efficacy of Bacillus velezensis DCJ 2 and the investigation of the effectiveness of different delivery methods of application of the bacterial inoculum for the control of soft rot disease.

MATERIALS AND METHODS Isolation of the causative agent

The pathogenic bacterium was isolated from soft-rot lesions of nine samples of Aglaonema 'Maria' collected from an export-oriented foliage nursery located in Katana in the Gampaha District of the Western Province $(7.24145^{\circ} \text{ N} \text{ and } 79.88779^{\circ} \text{ E})$ as per the method described by Lee et al., 2006 and Safi et al., 2020. Samples were placed separately in paper bags, appropriately labeled and immediately transported to the Plant Pathology Laboratory of National Plant Quarantine Services. The diseased leaves and stems were washed in running water and airdried in a laminar air flow. Small segments of freshly invaded water-soaked tissues from the leading edge of lesions were cut into smaller pieces and placed in one milliliter of sterile water to release bacteria from the infected tissues. Next, one loop-full of the suspension was streaked onto Nutrient Agar (NA), Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA) and The NGM medium which is composed of nutrient agar plus glycerol and manganese chloride tetrahydrate. This medium is supplemented with CaCl₂. 2H₂O, MgCl₂. 6H₂O, and MnCl₂ 4H₂O to a final concentration of 2 mM to enhance the production of pigment (Lee et al., 2006) and plates were incubated for 3-4 days at 28° C. The bacterial isolate obtained was coded as KPJ 1. Individual colonies were sub-cultured on NA plates, that displayed the best performance over other media. Bacterial isolates were further purified with repeated streaking onto fresh media. The isolates were stored in 30% glycerol at -80 °C for future use. In the meantime, an adequate number of pure cultures were prepared from the purified single colonies for physiological, biochemical, and molecular characterization.

Morphological, physiological, and biochemical characterization of etiological agent KPJ 1

Pure cultures of the strain KPJ 1 were used for the identification of its morphological, physiological, and biochemical properties according to Bergey's Manual of Determinative Bacteriology and Vasundhara et al., 2017. Gram reactions were determined standard microbiological according to procedures. A series of biochemical and tests morphological physiological and performed characterizations were for identification and confirmation of the pathogen, that included treatment with 3% KOH, pathogenicity on carrot slices, growth at 37 °C, observation of cell shape under the light microscope (Olympus inverted IX73P1F; Mag. 60x10), nitrate reduction, gelatin liquefaction, oxidative fermentative test, indole production test, methyl red test, citrate utilization and sugar fermentation tests, sensitivity to erythromycin (50 µg/ml) and oxidase test. All the tests were conducted utilizing 2-day-old cultures of bacteria grown on NA. Selective media such as Crystal Violet Pectate (CVP) and NGM, were also used to morphology. colonv investigate The pathogenicity of the isolate KPJ 1 was confirmed by performing Koch's postulates by re-inoculating a 50 -100 µl bacterial suspension having an inoculum density of 10^{8} CFU/mL amended with 0.05% Tween 20 (Sigma), onto mid veins of selected leaves of the Aglaonema plant in a pot using an injection cylinder with a needle. Similarly, the control plant was treated with sterile distilled water containing Tween 20. Immediately after

inoculation, the pot was covered with transparent polyethylene to ensure a high humid atmosphere compatible with bacterial growth. Development of disease symptoms were observed by comparing with the control.

Molecular biological characterization of the isolate KPJ 1

For molecular biological confirmation of identity, bacterial genomic DNA was extracted as per the procedure described by Kate Wilson (2001) using the cetyl trimethyl ammonium bromide (CTAB) method. PCR was initially performed with a set of universal primers (27F/800R) targeting the 16SrRNA gene. Then it was further confirmed by the use of species-specific primers (Table 1).

DNA quantification and quality measurements were performed using the Genova Nano spectrophotometer (Genway, UK). All DNA samples recorded absorbance values between 1.8-2.0 at 260 nm and 280 nm wavelengths and DNA quantities greater than 50 ng/ μ L were selected for Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR).

Polymerase Chain Reaction

Firstly, the analysis of 16S rDNA sequences of the pathogen associated with soft rot disease in *A*. 'Maria' was performed to confirm its identity. Furthermore, several more colonies were assessed using ADE1 and ADE2, and 5A/5B PCR (table 1) primers which are specific to the *Dickeya* genus and noted the production of the expected sizes of amplicons, 420 bp and 500 bp, respectively. Genus-specific oligonucleotide primer pairs namely 5A/5B designed by Chao *et al.*, 2006 from the sequences of pT8-1, idg (a gene for blue-pigment synthesis), and pecS (a gene for regulation of pectinase, cellulose, and

 Table 1: Primers used for the identification of KPJ 1

Primer designation	Primer sequence	Marker gene	Amplicon size (bp)	Reference
5A/5B	5' GCGGTTGTTCACCAGGTGTTTT 3' 5' ATGCACGCTACCTGGAAGTAT 3'	pT8-1, idg, and pecS genes	500	Chao <i>et al.,</i> 2006
ADE1 / ADE2	5'-GATCAGAAAGCCCGCAGCCAGAT-3' 5'-CTGTGGCCGATCAGGATGGTTTTGTCGTGC-3'	pelADE fragments	420	Nassar <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1996
27F/800R	5'-AGAGTTTGATCMTGGCTCAG-3' 5'-TACCAGGGTATCTAATCC-3'	16SrRNA	733	Weisburg <i>et</i> <i>al.</i> , 1991

pigment production) and ADE1 and ADE2 primer pair designed by Nassar *et al.*, 1996 were used. Appropriate primers were employed to determine the expected amplicon sizes with EppendorfTM MastercyclerTM Nexus Thermal Cycler, Germany as in the table 1.

The PCR reaction (50 μ l) was composed of 10 μ l 2x PCR buffer (Promega, Madison, USA), 1 μ L of each primer (10 μ M), 2 μ L of MgCl₂ (25 mM) 1 μ L of dNTP (10 mM), 1 μ L of DNA (>50 ng/ μ L), 0.25 μ L of Go Taq DNA polymerase (5U/ μ l). Nuclease-free water was added to volume up to 50 μ L. PCR conditions for each primer are shown in Table2.

 Table 2: PCR reaction process for each

 primer

Primer	5A/5B		27F/800)R	ADE1/A	DE2
		PCR c	onditions	5		
Steps	Temp	Time	Temp	Time	Temp	Time
Initial	95 °C	2	94°C	5 min	95 °C	2
denaturation		min				min
Denaturation	95 °C	15	94°C	45	95 °C	15 sec
		sec		sec		
Primer	55 °C	20	55°C	45	55 °C	45 sec
annealing		sec		sec		
Extension	72 °C	45	72°C	1 min	72 °C	45 sec
		sec				
Final	72 °C	7	72°C	10	72 °C	7
extension		min		min		min
No. of cycles	35		35		25	

Agarose Gel electrophoresis for analysis of PCR products

The PCR amplified products were electrophoresed in 1X TAE buffered agarose gel (1%) at 80 V for 45 minutes in Blue gel electrophoresis system (Major science, MBE-150, UK) and amplified genomic fragments were stained using 1.2% Ethidium bromide. The bands were visualized through the gel documentation with UV system transilluminator (Vilber Lourmat, France) supported by vision-capt software.

Sequencing and analysis of sequenced results

After validation, the amplified products of PCR were sequenced by outsourcing to

Macrogen, Korea. The BLASTn search tool (http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/blastn) was utilized for the comparison of the nucleotide sequences with the GenBank nucleotide database. MEGA version 11 (Tamura *et al.*, 2021) was utilized for phylogeny and molecular evolutionary analyses and bootstrap values were set as 1,000 replications for analysis. Ultimately, all the sequences were deposited in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Database for future annotations.

Selection of a biocontrol agent

A preliminary *in-vitro* screening was performed in this study by adopting a dualculture assay described by Anith *et al.*, 2021 with some modifications to investigate the antagonistic potential of 22 isolates, obtained from the *Aglaonema* 'Maria' rhizosphere to

ascertain their comparative biocontrol efficiency against Dickeva fangzhongdai KPJ 1. The strain Bacillus velezensis DCJ 2 (GenBank OR542034. accession numbers: OR476022, OR568536) was found to the best isolate indicating he maximum growth inhibition of fangzhongdai Dickeya KPJ 1 (Subhashini et al., 2024) and hence was tested in pot experiments in the current study. The method described by Dong et al., 2021 with a few modifications was adopted for the isolation of the biocontrol agent. A composite sample of 10 g of soil obtained from the rhizosphere soil of Aglaonema 'Maria' plant

rhizosphere soil of *Aglaonema* 'Maria' plant was suspended in an Erlenmeyer flask containing 90 ml of sterile distilled water. This was shaken for 10 min and then serially diluted up to 10^{-8} . Then, 50 µL of each dilution was taken and streaked evenly on NA plates in duplicates and incubated upside down at 28°C for 24h. Single bacterial colonies growing on the media were selected according to morphology, color, transparency, and other characteristics, and subcultured for further testing. Similarly, rhizosphere soil of several *Aglaonema* 'Maria' plants was tested for the isolation of a promising bioagent.

Investigating the biocontrol-potential of DCJ 2 and assessing the effectiveness of different delivery methods for the control of soft rot disease

A pot experiment was conducted using onemonth-old same-size healthy plants which were maintained in pots having the dimensions of 15 cm (diameter) X 45 cm (height), filled with 2.5 kg of soil. Sandy loam field soil was sieved using Tokyo Sekiya Testing Sieve with a pore size of 2.00 mm to remove root debris, air dried, and filled into the pots. The soil used for pot experiments was collected from a field site with a history of Aglaonema plants being grown. Plants were watered daily and fertilization was done monthly with Yaramila® fertilizer which is commonly utilized by foliage nurseries to encourage the healthy growth of plants. In this study, all pots were laid out in the greenhouse in a complete randomized design (CRD) with eight treatments (Table 3). Each treatment had six replicates.

cultures were harvested and dissolved in sterile water and adjusted to 10⁸ CFU/ml using spectrophotometer (Eppendrofа Biospectrometer). As per the growth curve studies, one-day-old bacteria were found in the exponential growth stage. Two delivery methods namely foliar spray and soil drenching were adopted in the experiment. One month after planting the surrounding rhizosphere soil was drenched with approximately 100 ml of the prepared inoculum. For the foliar application method, 100 ml of bacterial inoculum was applied onto the surface of all leaves of Aglaonema 'Maria' plants. Control treatments of healthy plants were inoculated either by spraying or soil drenching with sterilized distilled water. Different treatments with six replications arranged in a complete randomized design are shown in Table 3. Plants maintained in field soil without bacterial inoculation were set as unimmunized controls. Normally, plants were watered at two-day intervals.

	Pathogen application		Biocontrol agent application		Sterile distilled water application (SDW)	
	Soil drench	Foliar spray	Soil drench	Foliar spray	Soil drench	Foliar spray
T1 (Control)	-	-	-	-	\checkmark	-
T2 (Control	-	-	-	-	-	\checkmark
Т3	\checkmark	-	-	-	-	-
T4	-	\checkmark	-	-	-	-
T5	(1)	-	(2)	-	-	-
T6	(2)	-	(1)	-	-	-
T7	-	(1)	-	(2)		
T8	-	(2)	-	(1)		

Table 5. Treatment structure of the pot experiment	Table 3:	Treatment	structure	of the	pot ex	perimen
--	----------	------------------	-----------	--------	--------	---------

Note: Bacteria were applied at the rate of 108 CFU/ml; (1) - applied first, (2) - applied one hour after the (1st) application

T1: Plants established in field soil (FS) and drench with sterile distilled water (SDW), T2: Plants established in FS and foliar application with SDW, T3: Plants established in FS and inoculated plants as a drench with the bacterial suspension (BS) of causal organism KPJ 1 (BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml), T4: Plants established in FS and inoculated plants as a foliar application with KPJ 1 108 CFU/ml, T5: Plants established in FS and drenched with BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml and after one hour drenched with the suspension of Bio Control agent, DCJ 2 108 CFU/ml (BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml), T6: Plants established in FS and drenched with BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml, T7: Plants established in FS and foliar application with BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml, T7: Plants established in FS and foliar application with BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml application with BSDCJ 2 108 CFU/ml and after one hour BSKPJ 1 108 CFU/ml

Before using both bacterium for treatment, the bacteria which were preserved at -80 ⁰C were revived in sterilized soil (*in vitro*). The inoculum was prepared with a day-old bacterial culture grown on NA. The bacterial

The bacterial population was estimated by reisolation from treated plants and soil with serial dilution and plating. Colonies were estimated by colony counting after incubation for 48 h 28 ^oC. Colonies that grew similarly to the colonies isolated previously were considered to be the same as the inoculated strain. Further, confirmation was carried out through PCR analysis.

Disease severity (DS) was assessed with the help of the following formula and the scale given in table four (Rahma et al., 2020; Safi et al., 2020) with some modifications. DS was measured from 23.02.2023 to 29.05.2023 at 7-day intervals. Soft-rot disease symptoms could be traced from water-soaked lesions on Aglaonema leaves. Scoring was made for such lesions when the disease progressed and the measurements of the disease severity were then calculated using the formula based on the score as follows: Disease Severity of the disease was assessed by using a 0-5 scale devised below where 0 means no visible symptoms on foliage while a rating up to 5 has a different percentage of symptoms. Percent Disease Severity (DS) was then worked out using the equation.

Disease Severity % =
$$\sum \frac{\text{nb x 100}}{\text{N x T}}$$

Where n = Grade, b = number of infected leaves in each grade, N = number of grades used in the scale, T = total number of leavesused for scoring

Statistical analysis

All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) by using the General Linear Model (GLM) procedure of SAS. Data were analyzed using SAS software (version 9 SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA) and treatment means were separated by using the least significant difference (LSD) test (p=0.05) among the treatments. All the data were

Table 4: Disease rating scale used to calcu-late disease severity of soft-rot of Aglaone-ma 'Maria'

Leaf area infected (%)	Grade	
0	0	
0-10	1	
11-25	2	
26-50	3	
51-75	4	
76-100	5	

analyzed with the LSD values, at $P \le 0.05$, by using the statistical software mentioned above. Variances were stabilized with square root transformation of data.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Morphological characterization of the etiological agent

Strain KPJ 1 is a gram-negative bacteria and young colonies growing on nutrient agar were initially creamy white with smooth surfaces with an entire margin and turned gravish blue with irregular margins resembling to feathery appearance after 4-5 days of incubation at 28 ° C. The cells were rod-shaped and motile. Exhibited positive reactions for biochemical and physiological tests namely 3% KOH, pathogenicity on carrot slices, growth at 37 ⁰C, nitrate reduction, gelatin liquefaction, oxidative fermentative test, indole production test, methyl red test, citrate utilization and fermentation and gas production of glucose, sensitivity to erythromycin (50 µg/ml) except oxidase reaction test (Table 5). Besides, pectate degradation on crystal violet pectate medium (CVP) and the development of a brownish to blue color on the NGM medium that consists of nutrient agar supplemented with 1% glycerol and 2 mM MnCl₂ 4H₂O was also observed. The isolate thrived well on the NGM medium developing dark brownish to blue pigmentation around colonies which made Dickeya fangzhongdai easilv recognizable from other Dickeya spp. (Lee et al., 2006).

PCR amplification, gel electrophoresis, sequencing of amplified PCR products and phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA, pT8 -1, idg, pecS and *pelADE* genes

PCR amplification of the 16S rRNA gene of the bacterial isolate produced a typical 733bp band (Figure 1) which was further purified and sequenced to identify the isolate KPJ 1 down to the species level. Similarly, PCR amplification was conducted targeting pT8-1, idg, and pecS and *pelADE* genes of *D. fangzhongdai* for reconfirmation of the isolate. PCR amplification of these genes produced bands at the expected level of 500 bp and 420bp, respectively (Figure 2 and 3). The resultant consensus sequences were

Characteristic	Observation			
3% KOH	+ Solution- become viscous and form a mucoid string			
Gram stain	- Pink			
Pathogenicity on carrot slicers	+ Rotten			
Growth at 37 ^o C				
Cell shape observed under the microscope (60x10)	Rod			
Oxidative Fermentative test	+ Green to yellow			
Indole production test	+ Formation of the red color ring on the top of media			
Gelatin liquefaction	+ Liquefied gelatin			
Nitrate reduction	+ Color change pale yellow cherry red color			
Citrate utilization	+ Color change to blue			
Sensitivity to erythromycin (50 µg/ml)	+ Inhibition zones around the erythromycin discs			
Oxidase test	-			
Pectate degradation on CVP	+ Formation of shallow pits on medium			
Blue pigment on NGM	+ Production of blue color pigment on the medium			
Fermentation and gas formation of glucose	+ Media turn yellow to red and production of gas			
Pathogenicity test	+ Observed soft rot lesions on the inoculated area			

 Table 5: Physiological and biochemical characteristics of strain D. fangzhongdai
 KPJ 1

"+" indicates positive response; "-" indicates negative response

blasted against the publicly available gene database at NCBI to confirm their specificity to *D. fangzhongdai*. The causal organism was highly homologous to *D. fangzhongdai* strains sharing the same query coverage and percentage identity mentioned in the table



Figure 1: Agarose gel electrophoresis of bacterial pathogen DNA amplified with 27F/800R (733 bp) primers

suggesting a close genetic relationship of soft rot causal organism with *D. fangzhongdai*.



Figure 2: Agarose gel electrophoresis of bacterial pathogen DNA amplified with 5A/5B (500 bp) primers.



Figure 3: Agarose gel electrophoresis of bacterial pathogen DNA amplified with ADE1 / ADE2 (420 bp) primer.

Primers	Identified organism	Accession No	Percentage identity	Query coverage (%)	E value
27F/800R	Dickeya fangzhongdai strain JS5	NR_151914.1	99.73	99	0
5A/5B	Dickeya fangzhongdai strain 643b	CP092458.1	100	100	0
ADE1/ADE2	D. fangzhongdai strain YZY-SG-17	OL855840.1	93.75	100	0

Table 6: Identified organisms based on genomic DNA sequencing data

Phylogenetic analysis

Figure 4 exhibited the evolutionary relationships of the 16S rRNA sequence of KPJ 1 associated with soft rot disease of Aglaonema 'Maria' and 10 16S rDNA accessions available in the DNA database at NCBI. The evolutionary relationships were worked out using the Maximum likelihood The evolutionary analysis was method. conducted in MEGA11 (Tamura et al., 2021). Furthermore, the 16SrRNA sequence of strain (GenBank accession KPJ 1 number OR476021) showed 99% homology to that of D. fangzhongdai strain JS5 (GenBank accession number NR 151914.1) among other Dickeya spp. The scale bar represents 0.010 nucleotide substitutions per site.



Figure 4: Maximum likelihood Phylogenetic tree constructed from 16SrRNA gene

phylogenetic tree is The based on comparative pT8-1, id g, and pecS genes of isolate KPJ 1 (GenBank accession number OR542035) on available reference sequences from GenBank. The phylogenetic tree showed that strain KPJ 1 clustered with D. fangzhongdai Onc5 (GenBank accession number CPO80400.1), D. fangzhongdai B16 (GenBank accession number CPO87226.1) and D. fangzhongdai 643b (CPO92438.1) 100% identities to several records of Dickeya fangzhongdai deposited in NCBI GenBank based on BLAST analysis. Thus, Dickeya sp. KPJ 1 was identified as *D. fangzhongdai* (Figure 5).





Figure 5: Maximum likelihood Phylogenetic tree constructed from pT8-1, id g, and pecS genes

The *pelADE* gene sequence of strain KPJ 1 (GenBank accession number OR568537) showed 98% homology to that of *D. fangzhongdai Onc5* (GenBank accession number *CPO80400.1*)), *D. fangzhongdai 643b* (*CPO92438.1*) and *D. fangzhongdai YZY-SG-17(OL855840.1*) (Figure 6).





Figure 6: Maximum likelihood Phylogenetic tree constructed from *pelADE* gene

Based on the sequence homology of 16S rRNA, pT8-1, idg, and pecS and pelADE genes of KPJ 1, BLASTn analysis in the NCBI database unveiled the similarity between strain KPJ 1 and Dickeva fangzhongdai was 99%, 100% and 98%, respectively. Validation of the isolate's pathogenicity by inoculating the leaves of Aglaonema 'Maria' plants confirmed the pathogenic nature of the bacterium as typical soft-rot lesions ranging from 3-7 mm in diameter were observed within 24 hours of post-inoculation, fulfilling Koch's postulates. However, leaves inoculated with distilled water remained unchanged.

Appearance of zone of inhibition was noticeable around KPJ 1where three bands were streaked parallel to each other in two plates, with DCJ 2 in the center and KPJ 1 on either side of DCJ 2, and vice versa. Visualization of the clear zone around KCJ 1 after 24 h of incubation in dual culture plate assay indicating the inhibitory activity of *Bacillus velezensis* DCJ 2 against KPJ 1 (Figure 7).



Figure 7: Dual culture assay of *Bacillus* velezensis (T) isolated from the *A*. 'Maria' rhizosphere against KPJ 1(C)

As per the results of the pot experiment, the application of both bacteria suspensions as a soil drench in either way (Treatment 5 and 6) exhibited a comparatively lower disease severity at p=0.05 as compared with inoculated plants with KPJ 1 as the soil drench or foliar spray (Table 7). However, there is no significant difference in disease severity between treatment 5 and 6 at p=0.05. Plants that were treated with bacterial suspension as a soil drench exhibited lower

disease severity over foliar spray. This is expected as the pathogen infects the leaves and foliar spray delivers the pathogen to its niche. In addition, the results suggested that foliar spray with DCJ 2 to healthy plants of Aglonema 'Maria' before developing the pathogen can significantly reduce the disease severity (T8) which may be due to the niche colonization and competitive exclusion of the pathogen by the biocontrol agent. Eventually, this study revealed that the introduction of DCJ 2 to the Aglaonema 'Maria' plants by any means can successfully control the disease. Controls (T1 and T2) sprayed with sterilized distilled water (SDW) remained unchanged whereas pathogen-inoculated controls (T3 and T4) produced high disease severity. All treatments showed a significant rot reduction compared to the control. Thus. В. velezensis DCJ 2 which demonstrated potent antagonist activity against soft-rot in pot experiment could be effectively used as an eco-friendly biological agent to mitigate the bacterial soft rot caused by Dickeya fangzhongdai KPJ 1 in nurseries where Aglaonema 'Maria' plants are being mainly maintained in pots.

Table 7: Severity of soft-rot disease ofAglaonema 'Maria' after Bacillus velezensisDCJ 2 treatment

 Plants drench with sterile distilled water (SDW) Foliar application with SDW Plants drench with the BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Foliar application with BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Soil drench with KPJ 1 and after one hour drench with BS of Bio) ¹
 Plants drench with sterile distilled water (SDW) Foliar application with SDW Plants drench with the BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Foliar application with BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Soil drench with KPJ 1 and after one hour drench with BS of Bio 	
 Foliar application with SDW Plants drench with the BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Foliar application with BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Soil drench with KPJ 1 and after one hour drench with BS of Bio 	
 3 Plants drench with the BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² 4 Foliar application with BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² 5 Soil drench with KPJ 1 and after one hour drench with BS of Bio 	
 causal organism (KPJ1)² Foliar application with BS of the causal organism (KPJ1)² Soil drench with KPJ 1 and after one hour drench with BS of Bio 0.60(0.91^{de}) 	
 5 Soil drench with KPJ 1 and after one hour drench with BS of Bio 	
one nour drenen with $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}$ of $\mathbf{D}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{O}$	
Control Agent, DCJ 2 ²	
6 Soil drench with DCJ 2^2 and 1.83(1.15 ^d)	
7 Foliar application with KPJ 1^2 8.28(2.21 ^b)	
and after one hour DCJ 2^2 8 Foliar application with DCJ ² 3.36(1.52 ^c) and after one hour KPJ 1^2	

CV= 1.96, means with the same letter do not differ significantly at P \leq 0.05 using the LSD test

1 Average of six replications; 2 108 CFU/ml; BS-Bacterial Suspension; Transformed data are within parentheses.

Furthermore, without an appropriate management strategy, using an antagonist alone will not provide stable biological control against soil-borne diseases. This is because the introduced biocontrol agents compete for niches and nutrients with other native microbes for their survival (Wright *et al.*, 2018; Cui *et al.*, 2019; Balla *et al.*, 2021 and Bamisile *et al.*, 2021).

Soft rot of plants is a major issue that harms crops severely throughout the world and causes critical crop damage and reduces the quality of plant products to varying degrees based on the host, climate, cultivars, etc. (Akarapisan *et al.*, 2020). The present study was undertaken to investigate the biocontrol potential of the bacteria isolated from the rhizospheric soil of *Aglaonema* 'Maria' plants grown in a nursery located in Gampaha District against soft-rot disease.

Using beneficial bacteria like *B. velezensis* for biological control in agriculture is becoming more widespread nowadays (Akarapisan et al 2020). The production of antimicrobial compounds by Bacillus spp including strain DCJ 2 (Jiang et al., 2015; Singhe et al., 2016; Liu et al., 2022) may be not only the reason for the inhibition of I KPJ 1's growth, despite this theory not being tested in this investigation and but also due to inducing systemic resistance (ISR) against pathogens (Mekonnen and Kibret, 2021). Therefore, a combination of the above two factors has led to the control of the disease. In addition to numerous pathogen control. studies demonstrate that Bacillus species can boost plant growth by producing gibberellins, indole acetic acid, and cytokinins, among other plant growth hormones (Teixeira et al., 2021). Taking into account of beneficial characteristics like inducing systemic resistance and inhibitory ability against a broad spectrum of microbial pathogens and boosting plant growth, B. velezensis can be effectively employed as a potential biocontrol agent (Singhe et al., 2016; Hassan et al., 2019; Tao et al., 2019; Wang et al., 2020; Teixeira et al., 2021; Liu et al., 2022). The Bacillus spp. along with Bacillus velezensis possess greater advantages over other

bacterial antagonist genera since they can and withstand higher temperatures are resistant to desiccation due to the formation of endospores and the ability to promote plant growth as well. Therefore, its potential for commercial development as a biocontrol agent by above-mentioned is enhanced the characteristics (Grady et al., 2019). In addition to its capacity to suppress disease and stimulate plant growth, the antagonist B. velezensis has other benefits, including nontoxicity to humans, animals, and the environment, as well as simplicity of application for farmers. (Whipps, 2001; Almoneafy et al., 2012).

CONCLUSIONS

Phylogenetic reconstructions, 16S rRNA sequence analysis, and morphological, biochemical, and physiological reactions, served as the basis for the identification of the pathogen KPJ 1 as Dickeya fangzhongdai. B. velezensis DCJ 2 showed high antagonistic activity against the pathogen in pot experiments displaying better ability in the biocontrol of the soft rot disease caused by D. fangzhongdail. In addition, the application of B. velezensis DCJ 2 as a soil drench proved to reduce soft-rot in Aglaonema 'Maria' significantly. Therefore, it might work well as a plant disease management technique in the future. However, to guarantee a precise dosage and reaction, it is still necessary to evaluate in different locations and different seasons.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTION

MHAD conceptualized and designed the study. MHAD conducted the experiments. DDE and IRT were supported to perform the experiment. MHAD analyzed the data and CM instructed for the analysis MHAD wrote the original draft. CM and DMJB commented on and revised the manuscript.

REFERENCES

 Akarapisan, A. Khamtham, J. & Kositratana, W. (2020) Characterization of antagonistic potential of *Bacillus* velezensis SK71 against the bacterial brown spot on a terrestrial orchid (*Habenaria lindleyana*), *International* Journal of Agricultural Technology, 16(1), pp. 1-18

- Alic, S. Frederique, F. Van Gijsegem, Jacques, J. Pedron, M. & Ravnikar, T. (2018) Dreo. Diversity within the novel *Dickeya fangzhongdai* sp., isolated from infected orchids, water and pear, *Plant Pathology*, 67 (7), pp.1612 - 1620. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1111/ppa.12866
- Alic, S. Naglic, T. Tusek-Žnidaric, M. Ravnikar, M. Racki, N. Peterka, M. & Dreo, T. (2017). Newly isolated bacteriophages from the *Podoviridae*, *Siphoviridae* and *Myoviridae* families have variable effects on putative novel *Dickeya* spp. *Frontiers in microbiology*, 8, pp. 1870. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2017.01870
- Almoneafy, A. A. Xie, G.L. Tian, W.X. Xu, L.H. & Zhang, G.Q. (2012), Characterization and evaluation of *Bacillus* isolates for their potential plant growth and biocontrol activities against tomato bacterial wilt, *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 11, pp. 7193-7201
- Anith, K. N., Nysanth, N. S., & Natarajan, C. (2021). Novel and rapid agar plate methods for in vitro assessment of bacterial biocontrol isolates' antagonism against multiple fungal phytopathogens. Letters in Applied Microbiology, 73(2), 229-236. https:// doi.org/10.1111/lam.13495
- Balamurugan, A. Kumar, A. & Sakthivel, K. (2020) Characterization of *Dickeya* fangzhongdai causing bacterial soft rot disease on *Dendrobium nobile* in India. Eur J Plant Pathol, 158, pp. 773–780. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1007/s10658-020-02094-7
- Balla, A. Silini, A. Cherif-Silini, H. Chenari Bouket. Moser, W. A. Κ. Nowakowska, J. A. & Belbahri, L. (2021). The threat of pests and pathogens and the potential for biological control in forest ecosystems. Forests, 12(11), 1579. https://doi.org/10.3390/f12111579
- Bamisile, B. S. Siddiqui, J. A. Akutse, K. S. Ramos Aguila, L. C. & Xu, Y. (2021).

General limitations to endophytic entomopathogenic fungi use as plant growth promoters, pests and pathogens biocontrol agents. *Plants*, *10*(10), 2119

- Bergey, D. H. (1984). Bergey's manual of determinative bacteriology. (8th edition, 1984)
- Bonaterra , A. Badosa, E. Daranas, N. Francés, J. Roselló, G. & Montesinos, E.(2022). Bacteria as Biological Control Agents of Plant Diseases. Microorganisms, 10(9), https10.3389/ pp.1759. DOI: fmicb.2017.01870: doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms10091759
- Burkholder, W. R. McFadden, L. A. & Dimock, E. W. (1953). A bacterial blight of Chrysanthemums, (cabidigitallibrary.org) *Phytopathology* Vol. 43, No. 9, 522-526 pp.
- Chandrashekar, B. S. Prasannakumar ,M. K. Gopal Venkateshbabu, Mahesh, H. B. Puneeth, M. E. Karthik, S. Narayan, P. Buela Parivallal, D. Pramesh, Sahana, N. Banakar, & Swati, S. Patil, (2023) *Bacillus velezensis* (strains A6 & P42) as a potential biocontrol agent against *Klebsiella variicola*, a new causal agent of soft rot disease in carrot, *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 76(1). DOI: https://doi.org/10.1093/lambio/ovac029
- Chao, Y. C. Feng, C. T. & Ho, W. C. (2006). First report of Aglaonema bacterial blight caused by Erwinia chrysanthemi in Taiwan. Plant disease, 90(10), pp. 1358-1358. DOI:https:// doi.org/10.1094/PD-90-1358A
- Nassar, A. Darrasse, A. Lemattre, M. Kotoujansky, A. Dervin, C.Vedel, R. Bertheau, Υ. (1996). & Characterization of Erwinia chrysanthemi by pectinolytic isozyme polymorphism and restriction length fragment polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified fragments of pel genes. Applied and environmental microbiology, 62(7), DOI: https:// 2228-2235. doi.org/10.1128/aem.62.7.2228-2235.1996

- Cui, W. He, P. Munir, S. He, P. He, Y. Li, X. Yang, L. Wang, B. Wu, Y.& He, P. (2019). Biocontrol of Soft Rot of Chinese Cabbage Using an Endophytic Bacterial Strain. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 10, pp. 463049. DOI: https://doi.org/10.3389/ fmicb.2019.01471
- Czajkowski, R. Pérombelon, M. Jafra, S. Lojkowska, E. Potrykus, M. & Sledz, W. (2014). Detection, identification and differentiation of *Pectobacterium* and *Dickeya* species causing potato blackleg and tuber soft rot: A review. *The Annals of Applied Biology*, 166 (1), pp. 18-38. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/aab.12166
- Database of Biochemical Tests of Pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae Family http:// bioinfo.bisr.res.in/cgi-bin/project/ docter/about.cgi.D-BiTE, Database of Biochemical Tests of Pathogenic Enterobacteriaceae Family
- Dong, X. Fang, L. Ye, Z. Zhu, G. Lai, Q. & Liu, S. (2021). Screening of biocontrol bacteria against soft rot disease of *Colocasia esculenta* (L.) schott and its field application. *PLoS One*, 16(7), e0254070.

https://doi.org/10.1371/ journal.pone.0254070

- EPPO Data Sheets on Quarantine Pests, Erwinia chrysanthemi. Prepared by CABI and EPPO for the EU under Contract 90/399003, OEPP/EPPO (1982) Data sheets on quarantine organisms No. 53, Erwinia chrysanthemi
- Elizabeth, A.B. Emmert, & J. Handelsman, (1999). Biocontrol of plant disease: a (Gram-) positive perspective, *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 171(1),pp.1–9. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.1999.tb13405.x
- Gardan, L. Gouy, C. Christen, R. & Samson, R.(2003). Elevation of three subspecies of Pectobacterium carotovorum to species level: Pectobacterium atrosepticum sp. nov, Pectobacterium betavasculorum sp. nov. and Pectobacterium wasabiae sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol Microbiol, 53

(2),pp.381-391. DOI: 10.1099/ijs.0.02423-0.

- Grady, E.N. MacDonald, J. & Ho, M.T. *et al*, (2019). Characterization and complete genome analysis of the surfactinproducing, plant-protecting bacterium *Bacillus velezensis* 9D-6. *BMC Microbiol*, 19, pp. 5. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-018-1380-8
- Hassan, M. K. McInroy, J. A. Jones, J. Shantharaj, D.Liles, M. R. & Kloepper, J. W. (2019). Pectin-rich amendment enhances soybean growth promotion and nodulation mediated by *Bacillus velezensis* strains. *Plants*, 8 (5),pp.120. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.3390/plants8050120
- Hassan, N. Khan, I. U. Farzand, A. Heng, Z.Moosa, A. Saleem, M. & Canming, T.(2022). Bacillus altitudinis HNH7 and Bacillus velezensis HNH9 promote plant growth through upregulation of growth-promoting genes in upland cotton. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 132(5),pp.3812-3824. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1111/ jam.15511
- Hossain, A. Ali, M.A. Lin, L. Luo, J.You, Y. Masum, M.M.I. Jiang, Y. Wang, Y. Li, B. & An, Q.(2023). Biocontrol of Soft Rot *Dickeya* and *Pectobacterium* Path ogens by Broad-Spectrum Antagonistic Bacteria within *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Complex. *Microorganisms* , 11, 817. https://doi.org/10.3390/
- microorganisms11040817 Huang S, Chen, Z. Hu, M. Xue, Y.Liao, L.& Zhang, L. (2021). First report of
- bacterial soft rot disease on taro caused by *Dickeya fangzhongdai* in China. *Plant disease*, 105(11),pp. 3737. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-10-20-2225-PDN Jiang, C. H. Wu, F.
- Yu, Z.Y. Xie, P. Ke, H. J. Li, H.W. Yu, Y. Y.
 & Guo, J. H. (2015). Study on screening and antagonistic mechanisms of *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* 54 against bacterial fruit blotch (BFB) caused by *Acidovorax avenae* subsp. *citrulli*.

Microbiological Research, 170, pp.95-104.

- Lee, A. Chen, P. & Hsu, W. (2006). Characterization of *Erwinia chrysanthemi*, the soft-rot pathogen of white-flowered calla lily, based on pathogenicity and PCR-RFLP and PFGE analyses. *Plant Pathology*, 55 (4), pp.530-536. DOI:https:// doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3059.2006.01392.
- Lee, Y.A. & Yu, C.P.(2006) A differential medium for the isolation and rapid identification of a plant soft rot pathogen, *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. *Journal of Microbiological Methods*, 64(2), pp.200-206. DOI: 10.1016/

DOI: 10 j.mimet.2005.04.031.

- Li, Z. Wang, T. Luo, X. Li, X. Xia, C. Zhao, Y. & Fan, J. (2018). Biocontrol potential of *Myxococcus* sp. strain BS against bacterial soft rot of calla lily caused by *Pectobacterium carotovorum. Biological control*, 126,pp. 36-44. https:// doi.org/10.1016/ j.biocontrol.2018.07.004
- Li, J. H. M. Xue, Y. Chen, X. Lu, G. Zhang, L. & Zhou, J. (2020). Screening, Identification and Efficacy Evaluation of Antagonistic Bacteria for Biocontrol of Soft Rot Disease Caused by *Dickeya zeae*. *Microorganisms*, 8 (5),pp. 697. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms8050697
- Liu, F. He, Q. Li, W.Wu, M.& He, B. (2022). Complete Genome Analysis of Bacillus Velezensis ES2-4: A Novel *Bacillus* Strain with Potential for Biofitilizer and Biocontrol Agent. researchsquare.
- Luo, C. Chen, Y. Liu, X. Wang, X. Wang, X. Li, X. Zhao, Y. & Wei, L. (2019). Engineered biosynthesis of cyclic lipopeptide locillomycins in surrogate host *Bacillus velezensis* FZB42 and derivative strains enhance antibacterial activity. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol,*

103,pp.4467–4481. DOI:10.1007/ s00253-019-09784-1

- Ma, X. Bonasera, J. M.Asselin, J. A. E. Beer, S. V. & Swingle, B. (2020). First report of *Dickeya fangzhongdai* causing soft rot of onion in New York State. *Plant disease*, 104(4), pp.1251. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-09-19-1940-PDN
- Martínez-Álvarez, J. C. Castro-Martínez, C. Sánchez–Peña. P.Gutiérrez-Dorado. R. & Maldonado-Mendoza, I. E. (2016). Development of a powder formulation based on Bacillus cereus sensu lato strain B25 spores for biological control of Fusarium verticillioides in maize plants. World Journal Microbiology of and Biotechnology, pp.32:75.
- Maung, C. E. H. Choub, V. Cho, J. & Kim, K. Y. (2022). Control of the bacterial soft rot pathogen, *Pectobacterium carotovorum* by *Bacillus velezensis* CE 100 in cucumber. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 173,pp.105807.
- Mekonnen, H. & Kibret, M. (2021). The roles of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria in sustainable vegetable production in Ethiopia. *Chemical and Biological Technologies in Agriculture*, 8(1), pp. 1-11. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1186/s40538-021-00213-y
- Nassar, A. Darrasse, A. Lemattre, M. Kotoujansky, A. Dervin, C. Vedel, R. Bertheau. Υ. (1996). & Characterization of Erwinia chrysanthemi by pectinolytic isozyme polymorphism and restriction fragment length polymorphism analysis of PCR-amplified fragments of pel genes. Applied and environmental microbiology, 62(7), pp. 2228-2235.
- Pandin, C. Le Coq, D. Deschamps, J. Védie,
 R. Rousseau, T. Aymerich, S. & Briandet, R.(2018). Complete genome sequence of *Bacillus velezensis* QST713: A biocontrol agent that protects *Agaricus bisporus* crops

against the green mould disease. *J. Biotechnol*, 278(10),pp.19. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.jbiotec.2018.04.014

- Rabbee, M. F. Ali, M. S. Choi, J. Hwang, B. S. Jeong, S. C. & Baek, K. H. (2019). *Bacillus velezensis*: a valuable member of bioactive molecules within plant microbiomes. *Molecules*, 24(6), pp.1046. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules24061046
- Rahma, A. A. Suryanti, S. S.& Joko, T. (2020). Research Article Induced Disease Resistance and Promotion of Shallot Growth by *Bacillus velezensis* B-27. *Pak. J. Biol. Sci*, 23(9). DOI: 10.3923/pjbs.2020.1113.1121
- Rahman, M. M. Ali, M. E. Khan, A. A. Akanda, A. M. Uddin, M. K. Hashim, U. & Abd Hamid, S. B. (2012). Characterization, Isolation, and Identification of Biological Control Agent for Potato Soft Rot in Bangladesh. The Scientific World Journal. 2012. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1100/2012/723293
- Safi, H. Hussain, S. Shahid, M. & Nazir, M. (2020). Incidence and severity of early blight of tomato in Peshawar, Mardan and Malakand divisions and variability amongst the isolates of Alternaria solani Jones and Mart. International Journal of Agriculture, Environment and Biotechnology, 13(2), pp.175-183. DOI: 10.30954/0974-1712.02.2020.9
- Samson, R. Legendre, J.B. Christen, R. Saux, M.F. Achouak, W. & Gardan, L. (2005). Transfer of Pectobacterium chrysanthemi (Burkholder et al. 1953) Brenner et al. 1973 and Brenneria paradisiaca to the genus Dickeya gen. nov. as Dickeya chrysanthemi comb. nov. and Dickeva paradisiaca comb. nov. and delineation of four novel species, Dickeya dadantii sp. nov., Dickeya dianthicola sp. nov, Dickeya dieffenbachiae sp. nov. and Dickeya zeae sp. nov. Int J Syst Evol 55 Microbiol. (4),pp.1415-1427.DOI:10.1099/ijs.0.02791-0.

- Singh, D. Yadav, D. K. Chaudhary, G. Rana, V. S. & Sharma, R. K. (2016). Potential of Bacillus amyloliquefaciens for biocontrol of bacterial wilt of tomato incited by Ralstonia Plant Pathol solanacearum. J *Microbiol*, 7(327), 1-6. DOI: pp. 10.4172/2157-7471.1000327
- Soad, A . Algam Xie Guan-lin & Jef. Coosemans (2005). Delivery Methods for Introducing Endophytic Bacillus into Tomato and Their Effect on Growth Promotion and Suppression of Tomato Wilt. *Plant Pathology Journal*, 4, pp. 69-74. DOI: 10.3923/ppj.2005.69.74
- Subhashini, M. H. A. D. Nanayakkara, C. M. & Senanayake, D. M. J. B. (2024). Characterization of *Bacillus velezensis* DCJ 2 isolated from the rhizosphere soil of *Aglaonema* 'Maria' and investigation of its plant growthpromoting effects. *Brazilian Journal of Development*, 10(5), e69660 https:// doi.org/10.34117/bjdv10n5-038
- Sudarsono, S. Elina1, J. Giyanto, & Sukma, D.(2018). Pathogen Causing Phalaenopsis Soft Rot Disease – 16S rDNA and Virulence Characterisation. *Plant Protect. Sci*,54 (1), pp. 1-8 DOI: 10.17221/18/2017-PPS
- Sun, X. Xu, Z.Xie, J. Hesselberg-Thomsen, V. Tan, T. Zheng, D. Strube, M.L. Dragoš, A. Shen, Q. & Zhang, R. et al. (2021). Bacillus velezensis stimulates resident rhizosphere Pseudomonas stutzeri for plant health through metabolic interactions. ISME J. 2021, 30,pp. 774–787.
- Sun, X. Xu, Z. Xie, J. Tan, T. Zheng, D. Strube, M. L. Dragoš, A. Shen, Q. Zhang, R. & Kovács, Á. T. (2022). Bacillus velezensis stimulates resident rhizosphere Pseudomonas stutzeri for plant health through metabolic interactions. The ISME Journal, 16(3), pp.774-787. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1038/s41396-021-01125-3
- Tamura, K. Stecher, G. & Kumar, S. (2021). MEGA11: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis version 11. Molecular Biology and Evolution,

259 SUBHASHINI MHAD ET AL: BIOLOGICAL CONTROL OF SOFT ROT OF Aglaonema "MARIA"

38, pp.3022-3027.

- Tao, Y.M. Pan, H.J. Huang, J. Xi, X. Li, P. & Lu, Z.J.(2019). Research and application of a novel bio-control microbial) factor *Bacillus velezensis*. *China Plant Prot*, 39, pp.26–33. (In Chinese)
- Teixeira, G. M. Mosela, M. Nicoletto, M. L. A. Ribeiro, R. A. Hungria, M. Youssef, K. & de Oliveira A. G. (2021). Genomic insights into the antifungal activity and plant growthability promoting in Bacillus velezensis CMRP 4490. Frontiers in microbiology. 618415. 11, pp. DOI: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.618415
- Tian, Y. L. Zhao, Y. Q. Yuan, X. L. Yi, J. P. Fan, J. Q. Xu, Z. G. Hu, B. S. De Boer, S. H, & Li, X. (2016). *Dickeya* fangzhongdai sp. nov, a plantpathogenic bacterium isolated from pear trees (*Pyrus pyrifolia*). International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology, 66,pp. 2831–2835. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1099/ijsem.0.001060
- Vasundhara, B.A. & Thammaiah, N. (2017). Morphological and Biochemical Properties Useful for Identification of *Erwinia chrysanthemi*. *Int.J.Curr.Microbiol.App.Sci*, 6 (10),pp.343-348. https:// doi.org/10.20546/ijcmas.2017.610.042
- Wang, C. Zhao, D.Qi, G. Mao, Z. Hu, X. Du,
 B. & Ding, Y. (2020). Effects of Bacillus velezensis FKM10 for Promoting the Growth of Malus hupehensis Rehd. and Inhibiting Fusarium verticillioides. Frontiers in microbiology ,10, pp.2889. DOI:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.02889
- Wang, F. Tang, T. Mao, T. Guo, J. Guo, X. Duan, Y. & You, J. (2021). Occurrence of *Dickeya fangzhongdai* causing soft rot of Banxia (*Pinellia ternata*) in China. *Plant Disease*, 105 (10), pp.3288. DOI: 10.1094/PDIS-01-21-0030-PDN
- Wei, Y. Deng, L. & Chu, C. (2021). Phylogenetic and phenotypic analyses on *Dickeya* spp. Isolated from

different host plants in Taiwan. Journal of Phytopathology, 169(11-12), pp. 678-691. DOI: https:// doi.org/10.1111/jph.13038

- Weisburg, W. G. Barns, S. M. Pelletier, D. A. & Lane, D. J. (1991). 16S ribosomal DNA amplification for phylogenetic study. *Journal of bacteriology*, 173(2), pp. 697-703. https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.micpath.2022.105807
- Whipps, J.M. (2001). Microbial interactions and biocontrol in the rhizosphere. J Exp Bot ,52, pp. 487-511.
- Wilson, K. (2001). Preparation of genomic DNA from bacteria. *Current protocols in molecular biology*, *56*(1), 2-4. https:doi.org/10.1002/0471142727.m b0204s56
- Wolf, J.M. Acuña Ivette, Boer, Solke, H. Brurberg, M.B. & Cahill Greig et al. (2021) Diseases Caused by Pectobacterium and Dickeya Species Around the World Plant Diseases Caused by Dickeya and Pectobacterium Species,7, pp.215-26. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-030-61459-1
- Wright, M. G. & Bennett, G. M. (2018). Evolution of biological control agents following introduction to new environments. *BioControl*, 63, 105-116.
- Xu, S. Xie, X. Zhao, Y.R. Shi, Y.X. Chai, A. Li, L. & Li, B.(2020) Whole-genome analysis of IZF2, a biocontrol agent that protects *Cucumis sativus* against corynespora leaf spot diseases. *3 Biotech*, 10, pp.186.
- Xu, S. Xie, X. Shi, Y. Chai, A. Li, B. & Li, L. (2022). Development of a Real-Time Quantitative PCR Assay for the Specific Detection of Bacillus velezensis and Its Application in the Study of Colonization Ability. Microorganisms, 10(6), pp. 1216 DOI: https://doi.org/10.3390/ microorganisms10061216
- Yang, D. Du, C. J. Ye, Y. F. Pan, L. F. Zhang, J. & Fu, G. (2022). First Report of *Dickeya fangzhongdai* Causing Peduncle Soft Rot of Banana in

China. *Plant Disease*,106(7), pp.1980. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1094/PDIS-11 -21-2513-PDN

- Yang, Y. Chen, Q. Zhou, J. Xu, J. Li, J. Zhao, T. Luo, M. Huang, B. Miao, Y. & Liu, D. (2023). Soft rot disease of Belamcanda chinensis caused by Dickeya fangzhongdai in China. Physiological and Molecular Plant Pathology, 125, pp.101974. DOI: https://doi.org/10.1016/ j.pmpp.2023.101974
- Ying-le, W. A. N. G.Yong-lin, L. I.Xue, L. I.
 A. N. G.Shu-fen, H. U. A. N. G. Lianhui, Z. H. A. N. G. Li-sheng, L. I. A.
 O. & Xiao-fan, Z. H. O. U. (2022).
 Study on the prevention and control of taro soft by biochar environment combined with biocontrol bacteria *Bacillus amyloliquefaciens* P4. *Hubei* Agricultural Sciences, 61(21),pp. 79. doi: 10.14088/j.cnki.issn0439-8114.2022.21.014